



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/653,988	09/01/2000	Takashi Matsumoto	50032-162	6825	
20277 75	11/13/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY			SHAPIRO, JEFFERY A		
600 13TH STRI WASHINGTON	N, DC 20005-3096		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3653		
			DATE MAILED: 11/13/2003	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	09/653,988	/653,988 MATSUMOTO ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jeffrey A. Shapiro	3653	
Th গৌAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover she t with the co	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 05 November 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (*condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to ch places the application	o a on in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE teen which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See M 136(a) and the appropriate extense fee. The appropriate extension the final Office action; or (2) a	MPEP ension fee on fee under s set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	•		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simp	olifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed an	nendment
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT p	lace the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were n	iewly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 2-7,9 and 10.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		

Application No.

Applicant(s)

AD 11-10-03

10. Other: See Continuation Sheet

Continuation of 10. Other: The amendments do not appear to significantly change the subject matter and scope of the claims, therefore the rejection, as described in the final rejection of 8/5/03 will be maintained..

DONALD P. WALSH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600